UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICTMOF NEW YORK

IC OF NEW YORK

Luis Angarita-Garzon Petitioner, Pro Se 5297 ORIGINAL

Judge

- v -

Case#:

Excutive Office of The US-ATTORNEY-Excutive Office of FBI US PAROLE Com'n Director BOP, Harley Lapin EXCUTIVE OFFICE US MARSHALS Known & Unknown Federal Agencies Respondant(s)



MATSUMOTO, J.

" PRO SE MOTION "

Pursuant To 28 USC § 2241(c)(3)(c)(5)

Habeas Corpus Challenging The

Application of EXTRADITION TREATY

2 NOOLATION OF

" CASE SUMMARY "

"COLOMBIAN NATIONAL EXTRADITED FOR ONLY CHARGES NAMED IN REQQUEST"

& [not] any US PAROLE VIOLATIONS which US PAROLE VIOLATED 18 USC §:4214 et seq.

Troia -v- Wiggin "705 F.Supp. at 1014-1015" (SDNY1989) and also the law in

Lyons -v- Mendez , 303 F3d (3rd Cir.2002) , US Const.Art 1 § 9 cl.3- seen in

Wilkinson -v- Dotson ; 161 Led 2d. (2005) (EMPHASIS) which brings up to the

present case # S-106-cr-1027-01(LAK) which is the "ONLY" COURT AUTHORIZED"

detention/extradition allowed under "UN TREATIES & 4th,6th,8th,14th USCA"

see: US -v- Karake, 443 F.Supp.2d. (DCDC2007),Kadic -v-Karazdic ,70 F3d 240
242 (2nd.Cir.1995) therefore allowing the US DISTRICT COURT to hold hearing

pursuant to "Boumediene -v- Bush 171 Led 2d. (2005), also held earlier on in "Hamdi -v- Rumsfeld "159 Led 2d. 578(2004) & Meachum -v- Fano, 49 Led 2d. 451 (1976), 18 USC § 4001 which is all Defined in CONGRESSIONAL LAW POLICY "GOVERNING DETENTION" of prisoners

Note: 18 USC 4214 et seq. US parole Upon re-commital to US BUREAU OF PRISONS was obligated to have a timely hearing upon adjudication of new case ...

Therefore , pursuant to " 18 USC § 4001" & US SUPREME COURT's decision in " Boumediene -v- Bush " 171 Led 2d.41 (2007) , & Hamdi -v- Rumsfeld , 159 Led2d. 578 (2004) , Meachum -v- Fano 49 Led 2d. 451 (1976) " COURT HAS JURISDICTION " to render aprissue the below requested relief , under 18 USC § 4213,18 USC §4214 , " EX POST FACTO CLAUSE Article 1 § 9 cl.3"

RELIEF SOUGHT

[EXCTRADITION] & adhereing to what the " EXTRADITION REQUEST " sought for , " NOT US PAROLE VIOLATION" which is not an offense that is " EXTRADITABLE UNDER COLOMBIAN / US EXTRADITION TREATIES."

see: Kadic -v- Karazdic, 70 F3d 240-242(2nd.Cir.1995) , & also law defined in " US CONST Article 1 § 9 cl.3" " Wilikinson -v-Dotson" 161 Led 2d. (2005) , Lyons -v- Mendez 303 F3d 2 (3rd Cir.2002)

Razzoli -v- Swinson , 225 F3d 650 (3rd Cir.2000) and illegal acts seen in " Wilson -v- Rackmill " 878 F2d. 772 (3rd Cir.1989) where US PAROLE COMMITTED INTENTIONAL WRONGFULL & ILLEGAL VIOLATIONS to hinder one's bussiness(s), Razzoli -v- Excutive Office US Marshals 10-cv-5962(SDNY)(PRESKA) Pending & Case#:10-cv-1842(EDNY)(AMON) pending 2nd. Cir.review for CIVIL RICO ACT VIOLATIONS ... as seen

2.) Based off of the "PRESENT CASE OF EXTRADITION" for Crim.#

S-1 06-cr-1027(LAK) detention for any other matters makes the

"EXTRADITION REQUEST ["NULL & VOID] for breach of contract that is governed under "UCC LAW" seen in US -v- Ready 82 F3d 551 (2nd.Cir. 1996) which applies since the "ALLEGED" US PAROLE CASE (OLD LAW)" is governed under "EX POST FACTO CALUSE" and cannot be "ALTERED & or re-sentenced under new law" EMPHASIS!

Note: SUPPORT BRIEF WILL FOLLOW, Pursuant To Local

Court RuleeRequiring 15 Day Submission of such....

"CONCLUSION"

Date: 10/19/2011

Prepared BY:

Kevin Razzo 12 #39945-066 US NAVY (OLD LAW PRISONER) MDC BROOKLYN 4-North 80 29th Street Brooklyn, New York 11232 Illegally Confined Submitted By:

Luis Angarita-Garzon # 059 Rd-040

MDC BROOKLYN 4-North 80 29th Street Brooklyn, New York 11232

RE: Angarita-Garzon -v- Excutive Office USA et al;

NEW FILENG HABEAS PETITION 2241(c)(3)(c)(5)

US DISTRICT COURT
US COURTHIOUSE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NY
225 Cadman Plaza, East
Brooklyn New York 11201

Excutive Office of US ATTORNEY US Justice Dept.
950 Pennsylvannia, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001

COVER LETTER "

&

CERT. of Service

Clerk of Courts
Please be advised "MOTION SEEKING HABEAS RELIEF" under

28 USC § 2241(c)(3)(c)(5) is enclosed

Also , such "SUPPORT BRIEF" will be filed in 2 weeks since exhibits need are being requested from TRIAL COUNSEL & BOP

under "Sellers -v-BOP" 959 F2d 308 (Dc Cir.1992)

FILING FEE WILL BE PAID FROM INMATE ACCOUNT

PLEASE ISSUE DOCKET NUMBER TO ASSIST WITH CHECK BEING PROPERLY

Respectfull;

Luis Angarita-Garzon Pro Se Petitioner

OCT. 19, 2011

ROUTED

1.) Boumediene -v- Bush , 171 Led 2d. (2007)

2.) Troia -v- Wiggin 705 Supp. 1014(SDNY1989)

